





### INTRODUCTION

Fetal Health Surveillance (FHS) is a required skill for all registered nurses (RNs), licensed practical nurses (LPNs), physicians and registered midwives (RM) providing antepartum and intrapartum care in Nova Scotia. Initial and ongoing FHS education requires application of knowledge through review of case studies, fetal heart rate tracings and practice with classification, interpretation, and response. Access to relevant FHS case studies would best support these FHS educational activities along with hands-on clinical experiences at the local level. In collaboration with the Reproductive Care Program of Nova Scotia (RCP), a provincial group is working to develop a repository of FHS case studies to support this education and to align with similar practices occurring in other provinces across Canada.

Nova Scotia Health (NSH) and IWK Health have obtained approval from Privacy and Legal to create and maintain a provincial case study repository which will provide FHS educators within the province access to standardized and relevant clinical case studies. This work is being completed by a provincial subcommittee working under the Atlantic Fetal Health Surveillance Advisory Committee. This committee reports to the Canadian Fetal Health Surveillance Steering Committee.

This document provides a step-by-step approach and 'how-to' guide on how to develop a fetal health surveillance case study. Including details to assist with:

- 1. Selecting a case study
- 2. Creating a case study
- 3. Reviewing your case study content
- 4. Final review and preparation of a case study for submission
- 5. Submission of a case study

### STEP 1: SELECTING A CASE STUDY

Case studies should be identified by the local team, or a member of the team, as having educational value. Example:

- The fetal heart tracing contains FHR characteristics that can be useful for focused education (e.g. tachysystole, fetal tachycardia, minimal variability, decelerations, etc.)
- Cases with commonly occurring clinical issues (e.g. preterm labour, cervical ripening, oxytocin infusion, twins, preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, etc.)

\*Note: Identified cases are not always those with a poor outcome but can also include those cases where concerns for fetal wellbeing were promptly identified and the outcome was good.

### STEP 2: CREATING A CASE STUDY

Obtain FHR Tracing Images and Case Information

- Review the identified permanent health record and FHR tracing for suitable segments for
  use in the case; these should reflect the key periods of the clinical case to help learners think
  through the clinical information in a simulated manor; tracings might be sequential or have
  a lapse of time between them with information provided to fill in details of the overall
  clinical picture.
- Retrieve pertinent case information from the permanent health record.
- Utilize the standardized case study Power Point template to determine what information is required <u>NS FHS Case Study Template</u>
- Choose a <u>minimum of 4</u> segments from the EFM tracing and create images. Remove any
  identifiable information from the final image (Including, but not limited to dates, times,
  patient name). Appendix F contains important details related to the criteria for deidentification.
- FHR tracing images should be at least 10 minutes in length and be of good visual quality.

### Build the Case Study

- Input images of the FHR tracing into the standardized case study PowerPoint template. Add
  the case information obtained from the permanent health record to include only the most
  pertinent details that provide clinical relevance to the learner. Direct extraction of
  documentation is discouraged.
- Do NOT include identifying information or clinical details of low or rare occurrences.
- The case will be created using simulated information, adding layers to the case to further reduce identifiability; so that it could represent an obstetrical case from any perinatal unit in NS.

### Saving the Case Study Images and Files during Development

- During case development individual case study information will be saved on an IWK/NSH organization computer, on a shared H: drive (in a specific folder) with restricted access by FHS instructors/delegates identified for case development purposes.
- No images or information can be held or saved on personal computers or devices.

### **STEP 3: REVIEWING CONTENT AND CREATING INSTRUCTOR NOTES**

- 1. Utilize documents in this guide to review your case study for appropriate content found in the presentation notes for each slide on the Case Study Power Point template.
  - a. Template 1: Systematic Approach for EFM Assessment Provides a systematic approach for reviewing each segment of FHR tracings. It includes detailed components of the FHR tracing (classify-interpret-response) and comprehensive guidance to assist FHS instructors in facilitating discussions on the core concepts of each slide.
  - b. Template 2: PPT Instructor Notes
     Ensures consistency in slide content and instructor note placement across FHS case studies in the repository

### **Systematic Approach for EFM Assessment**

- 1. Tracing quality, paper speed, graph range, internal vs. external?
- 2. Uterine activity
- 3. Maternal heart rate
- 4. Fetal heart rate characteristics
  - a. Baseline
  - b. <u>Variability</u>
  - c. Accelerations & decelerations
- 5. **Classify** each segment of FHR tracing as **Normal**, **Atypical**, or **Abnormal** using the appropriate classification table:
  - Antepartum FHS Classification table (Appendix C) or
  - Intrapartum FHS Classification table (Appendix D)
- 6. Interpret the segment of fetal heart tracing within the whole clinical picture:
  - a. <u>Risk factors:</u> Identify/confirm risk factors risk factors table *Appendix A* (or FHS Pocket
    - Guide Reference) utilize mind map see Appendix B
  - b. Fetal/maternal adaptations refer to intervention resource in Appendix E.
  - c. Current labour assessments- refer to intervention resource in Appendix E.
  - d. Fetal HR controls- refer to the intervention resource in Appendix E.
  - e. Overall Interpretation: within context of clinical picture refer to the intervention resource in **Appendix E**.
- 7. **Respond:** Identify the appropriate **response** based on the clinical scenario and fetal status. This may include details associated with:
  - a. Actions
    - i. No action required
    - ii. Action required (i.e., intrauterine resuscitation, fetal scalp blood pH/lactate testing), expedite delivery (plan for immediate delivery).
    - iii. Adjustments to plan of care
  - b. Communication & Teamwork
    - i. Notifying members of health care team
    - ii. Discussions about plan of care
    - iii. Documentation

### **PPT Instructor Notes**

### Length of Tracing: # of minutes

**Key Concepts:** Central take away or main idea that participants should understand from the content of this slide. Focuses on the most important points that should be emphasized during the discussion. It acts as a quick reference for instructors to quickly orient themselves before presenting the content.

• If there is a sample of communication (documentation or verbal notification) it will be indicated here with an asterisk. (e.g. \*SBAR for report to PHCP). Instructors will find the sample at the bottom of the instructor's notes.

Last Assessment: X hour and X minutes ago

### **SYSTEMATIC ASSESSMENT:**

Quality of tracing: Uterine Activity:

Maternal Heart Rate:

**Baseline:** 

Variability:

**Accelerations:** 

**Decelerations:** 

**Classification:** 

Interpretation within the whole clinical picture

- Risk factors:
- Fetal/maternal adaptations:
- Current labour assessments:
- Fetal HR Controls:

Discussion Points: Anticipated areas where participants may seek clarification, ask questions, or require additional explanation. This section highlights key areas of focus to encourage engagement, address common misconceptions, and deepen understanding of the topic. Can be altered based on participant group and can be found at <a href="mailto:any point">any point</a> in the instructor notes.

### **Overall Interpretation:**

### **Response:**

### Actions

### Communication and Teamwork

A broader clinical approach that includes FHS and extends to include the overall management of the patient. This section should outline key considerations such as communication, physician involvement, additional assessments, medications, and other interventions that contribute to comprehensive patient care.

### STEP 4: FINAL REVIEW OF A CASE STUDY AND PREPARATION FOR SUBMISSION

- 1. Consider peer review by FHS colleagues at the local facility prior to sending the case study to the NS FHS Case Study Review Committee. This will help confirm understanding and identify gaps in information and/or challenges with format/flow.
- 2. Utilize NS FHS Case Study Submission Checklist (*Appendix G*) as a guide to ensure the case study has met all necessary development criteria for review by the NS FHS Case Study Review Committee. This ensures that all case studies will be developed and presented in a consistent manner.

### **Step 5: SUBMISSION OF A CASE STUDY**

- Submit the completed case study and submission checklist via 'Movelt' to fhs@iwk.nshealth.ca.
- 2. The originator of the case study will receive an automated confirmation of receipt. If a confirmation email is not received within 2-3 business days, the originator is asked to contact fhs@iwk.nshealth.ca.
- 3. Submitters can expect the case to be reviewed within 6–8 weeks, after which the submitter will receive feedback about the review with any necessary revisions, or notification of approval.
- 4. If revisions are required the case study and submission checklist with comments will be sent back to the submitter. The submitter is asked to complete the suggested revisions and resubmit at their earliest convenience.
- 5. Once a case study has been reviewed and approved the submitter can expect it to be uploaded to the RCP Case Study Repository with 7 –10 business days.

### **APPENDIX A - RISK FACTORS**

### Risk Factors (Where use of EFM may be beneficial)

### **Antenatal Conditions**

|          | EFM is recommended  | EFM should be considered  |
|----------|---|---|
| Maternal | <ul> <li>Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy</li> <li>Diabetes: Preexisting and gestational</li> <li>Medical disease (e.g. cardiac, significant anemia, hyperthyroidism, vascular and/or renal disease)</li> <li>Motor vehicle collision/trauma (EFM recommended for a minimum of 4–6 hours)</li> <li>Perception of reduced or absent fetal movements</li> <li>Antepartum hemorrhage</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>*Pre-pregnant BMI         <ul> <li>35 kg/m²</li> </ul> </li> <li>Others factors         <ul> <li>(smoking, substance use, limited prenatal care)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Advanced Age         <ul> <li>(AMA – greater than 35 years at time of labour)</li> </ul> </li> <li>*consider FSE+/-IUPC if needed</li> </ul> |
| Fetal    | Intrauterine growth restriction Abnormal umbilical artery Doppler velocimetry Single umbilical artery Oligohydramnios Polyhydramnios Abnormal BPP or NST Significant fetal abnormality (compatible with life) Isoimmunization Multiple pregnancy Velamentous cord insertion   | • 3 or more nuchal loops  |

### **Intrapartum Conditions**

|          | EFM is recommended  | EFM should be considered |
|----------|---|--------------------------|
| Maternal | <ul> <li>Vaginal bleeding in labour</li> <li>Intrauterine infection/Chorioamnionitis</li> <li>Previous C Section/Trial of labour after CS</li> <li>Prolonged ROM at term (&gt; 24 hours)</li> <li>Combined spinal-epidural analgesia</li> <li>Oxytocin induction or augmentation</li> <li>Post term pregnancy (&gt; 42 weeks gestation)</li> <li>Labour dystocia</li> <li>Tachysystole</li> <li>Unable to reliably determine UA +/or FHR with IA</li> </ul> |                          |
| Fetal    | <ul> <li>Abnormal FHR on auscultation</li> <li>Prematurity (&lt; 37° weeks)</li> <li>Meconium staining of the amniotic fluid</li> <li>Breech presentation</li> <li>FHR Arrythmia</li> </ul>   |                          |

© Canadian FHS SC, February 2022

### APPENDIX B - MIND MAP

© Canadian FHS SC, February 2022

### APPENDIX C - ANTEPARTUM FHS CLASSICATION TABLE

Antepartum Non-Stress Test (NST) Classification Table (Adapted from SOGC, 2018)

| Parameters   | Normal   | Atypical   | Abnormal   |
|--|--|--|--|
| Baseline   | • 110–160 bpm  | <ul> <li>100–110 bpm</li> <li>Greater than 160 bpm for less than<br/>30 minutes</li> <li>Rising baseline</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Less than 100 bpm</li> <li>Greater than 160 bpm for greater than<br/>30 minutes</li> <li>Erratic baseline</li> </ul>  |
| Variability  | <ul> <li>Moderate (6–25 bpm)</li> <li>Minimal or absent (less than or equal to 5 bpm) for less than 40 minutes</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Minimal or absent (less than or equal<br/>to 5 bpm) for 40 to 80 minutes</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Minimal or absent (less than or equal to 5 bpm) for more than 80 minutes</li> <li>Marked (greater than 25 bpm) for more than 10 minutes</li> <li>Sinusoidal pattern</li> </ul>                                      |
| Accelerations—<br>Term Fetus                               | <ul> <li>Greater than or equal to 2 accelerations<br/>with an acme of greater than or equal to<br/>15 bpm lasting a minimum of 15 seconds<br/>within less than 40 minutes of testing</li> </ul>      | <ul> <li>Less than or equal to 2 accelerations<br/>with an acme of greater than or equal to<br/>15 bpm lasting a minimum of 15 seconds<br/>between 40-80 minutes of testing</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Less than or equal to 2 accelerations<br/>with an acme of greater than or equal to<br/>15 bpm lasting a minimum of 15 seconds<br/>in greater than 80 minutes of testing</li> </ul>                                  |
| Accelerations—<br>Preterm Fetus<br>(less than 32<br>weeks) | <ul> <li>Greater than or equal to 2 accelerations<br/>with an acme of greater than or equal to<br/>10 bpm lasting a minimum of 10 seconds</li> <li>within less than 40 minutes of testing</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Less than or equal to 2 accelerations<br/>with an acme of greater than or equal to<br/>10 bpm lasting a minimum of 10 seconds<br/>between 40-80 minutes of testing</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Less than or equal to 2 accelerations<br/>with an acme of greater than or equal to<br/>10 bpm lasting a minimum of 10 seconds<br/>in greater than 80 minutes of testing</li> </ul>                                  |
| Decelerations  | <ul> <li>None or</li> <li>Occasional variable deceleration lasting less than 30 seconds</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Variable decelerations, 30–60 seconds<br/>duration</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Variable decelerations, greater than<br/>60 seconds duration</li> <li>Late decelerations*</li> </ul>  |
| Actions  | Further Assessment Optional based on the total clinical picture NST reviewed by the most responsible provider at the earliest opportunity; signed within 24 hours                                    | Further Assessment Required  NST reviewed by the most responsible provider at the time of classification   | Urgent Action Required     NST reviewed by the most responsible provider IMMEDIATELY     Overall assessment of the situation and further investigation with U/S or BPP is required     Some situations will require delivery |
|  |  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Gradual decelerations that are not associated with identifiable contractions can be described as episodic gradual decelerations (SOGC, 2020)

© Canadian FHS SC, February 2022

### APPENDIX D -INTRAPARTUM FHS CLASSICATION TABLE

Intrapartum Electronic Fetal Monitoring (EFM) Classification Table (Adapted from SOGC, 2020)

| ters<br>Activity   | Normal   | Δtvnical  | Abnormal   |
|--|--|---|--|
| • •  |  | urablicat.  |  |
|  | stole may be   | present with normal, atvoical or abnormal FHR characteristics   |  |
| Baseline • 110-  | 110–160 bpm  | 100–110 bpm Greater than 160 bpm for 30–80 minutes Rising baseline Arrhythmia (irregular rhythm)  | Less than 100 bpm     Greater than 160 bpm for more than 80 minutes     Erratic baseline   |
| Variability • Mod<br>(amplitude in • Mini<br>bpm) equ            | Moderate (6–25 bpm)<br>Minimal or absent (less than or<br>equal to 5 bpm) for less than 40<br>minutes  | Minimal or absent (less than or equal to 5 bpm) for 40–80 minutes   | Minimal or absent (less than or equal to 5 bpm) for more than 80 minutes     Marked (greater than 25 bpm) for more than 10 minutes     Sinusoidal  |
| Accelerations • Spo<br>(but<br>trac                              | Spontaneous acceleration(s) (but not required to classify the tracing as normal) Acceleration with scalp stimulation   | <ul> <li>Absence of acceleration with scalp stimulation</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Usually absent</li> <li>Accelerations, if present, do not change<br/>the classification of the tracing based<br/>on other characteristics</li> </ul>  |
| Decelerations None Non-relations Variab Early G                  | None<br>Non-repetitive uncomplicated<br>variable decelerations<br>Early decelerations  | Repetitive uncomplicated variable decelerations Non-repetitive complicated variable decelerations Intermittent late decelerations Single prolonged deceleration lasting more than 2 minutes but less than 3 minutes   | <ul> <li>Repetitive complicated variable decelerations</li> <li>Recurrent late decelerations</li> <li>Single prolonged deceleration lasting more than 3 minutes but less than</li> <li>10 minutes</li> </ul> |
| Clinical • No e Interpretation within the total clinical picture | No evidence of fetal compromise  | <ul> <li>Physiologic response reflecting activation of<br/>compensatory mechanisms</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Possible fetal compromise</li> </ul>  |
| Terminology Non-r<br>Repet<br>Intern<br>Recur                    | Non-repetitive: 1 or maximum of 2 in a row<br>Repetitive: greater than or equal to 3 in a row<br>Intermittent: Decelerations occur with less tha<br>Recurrent: Decelerations occur with greater th | Non-repetitive: 1 or maximum of 2 in a row<br>Repetitive: greater than or equal to 3 in a row<br>Intermittent: Decelerations occur with less than 50% of uterine contractions in any 20-minute window<br>Recurrent: Decelerations occur with greater than or equal to 50% of uterine contractions in any 20-minute window | ste window<br>any 20-minute window   |

Canadian FHS SC. February 2022

# Response to Classified EFM Tracings

(Adapted from SOGC, 2020)

| Response | Normal  | Atypical  | Abnormal   |
|----------|---|---|--|
| Actions  | Always:   |   |  |
|          | <ul> <li>Focus on communication and</li> </ul>  | · Focus on communication and teamwork including the birthing person and family                    | Ą  |
|          | <ul> <li>Evaluate FHS considering the overall clinical picture</li> <li>Actions often occur simultaneously</li> </ul> | overall clinical picture<br>eously  |  |
|          | · Continue with monitoring  | Vigilance   | Action Required  |
|          | method, as indicated, and provide supportive care   | <ul> <li>Vigilant assessment required, especially when combined features are present</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Determine significance/cause and correct reversible cause</li> </ul>                  |
|          | up to 30 minutes if clinical  | Determine significance/cause and correct reversible cause   | · Initiate intrauterine resuscitation  |
|          | condition and oxytocin<br>rate are stable   | Initiate intrauterine resuscitation   | <ul> <li>Determine duration of effect and<br/>reverse tolerance of fetus</li> </ul>            |
|          |   | <ul> <li>Determine duration of effect and reserve tolerance of fetus</li> </ul>                   | Fetal scalp blood sampling if available  |
|          |   | Consider fetal evaluation (scalp stimulation  | <ul> <li>Notify pediatric and anaestnesia<br/>services</li> </ul>                              |
|          |   | and/or fetal scalp blood sampling, ultrasound)  Consider transfer/delivery if tracing persists or | <ul> <li>Expedite delivery (operative vaginal or cesarean delivery) unless delivery</li> </ul> |
|          |   | deteriorates  | is imminent or there is evidence of<br>normal fetal scalp blood sample                         |

### APPENDIX E- CASE STUDY INTERPRETATION RESOURCE

### FHS Case Study Development

|              | N SELECTION: Selenterpretation, and re     |  | oriate entry for every slide wit<br>or notes.   | th a FHR tracing that r   | equires identification of  |
|--------------|--|--|---|---|--|
|              | RISK FACTORS                               | MATERNAL/<br>FETAL<br>ADAPTATIONS  | LABOR ASSESSMENTS   | FHR CONTROL   | OVERALL<br>INTERPRETATION &<br>RESPONSE  |
|              | * Refer to App                             | endix B – Mind M   | ap for additional details r   | egarding each categ   | gory   |
| TACHYSYSTOLE | Consider<br>maternal/fetal<br>risk factors |  | Medications/Epidural Oxytocin infusion – rate? Require titration?  4P's -Power (UA (Uterine Activity): frequency, duration, and strength) IUPC (Intrauterine Pressure Catheter) vs. External toco   | If there are atypical fetal heart rate changes, evidence of compensatory response.  Consider how the duration of the insult could be impacting fetal oxygenation and pH, therefore reflecting a chemoreceptor response.   | Normal FHR with tachysystole.  Atypical or Abnormal FHR with tachysystole.  *Action and vigilance required, consider expediting delivery.  *Required action's:  → Identify potential causes: oxytocin Respond in accordance with oxytocin safety checklist & actions (if used at your facility)  *Reduce or STOP oxytocin  → Determine FWB: consider scalp stimulation or fetal lactate testing. |
| TACHYCARDIA  | Consider<br>maternal/fetal<br>risk factors | Potential for compromised adaptations r/t GA.  (Preterm, postdates?)  Potential for compromised adaptations r/t indication for IOL (induction of labour) | How long in labor  Spontaneous/Augmente d/Induced  SROM vs. AROM → Clear? Meconium present (due to postdates GA or hypoxia?)  If present, cautiously consider reasons for meconium.  Maternal Vital Signs (Ie; maternal temperature and heart rate) | -Evidence of compensatory responses with rising baseline.  -Change in baseline Consider how the duration of the insult could be impacting fetal oxygenation and pH, therefore reflecting a chemoreceptor response and/or acidosis affecting the nervous system. |  |

|  | RISK FACTORS   | MATERNAL/   | LABOR ASSESSMENTS  | FHR CONTROL   | OVERALL  |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
|  |  | FETAL ADAPTATIONS   |  |   | INTERPRETATION & RESPONSE  |
| * F  | Refer to Append  | x B – Mind Ma <sub>l</sub>  | o for additional detail  | s regarding each  | category   |
| MINIMAL<br>VARIABILITY<br>OR<br>MINIMAL<br>VARIABILITY<br>AND FETAL<br>TACHYCARDIA | Consider maternal/fetal risk factors  FETAL RISK FACTOR: Preterm GA < 37 WEEKS OR Post Dates GA ≥ 41 WEEKS | Normal -Likely not compromised  Potential Compromise - Potential for compromised adaptations, related to: -Risk factors, -Placental circulation, -Gestational age (Preterm or postdates), -Indication for IOL (induction of labour) | Stage and phase of labor (what is normal for each), dilation  How long in labor? How long does the FHR pattern persist?  Medications/Epidural (i.e., narcotics, corticosteroids, MgSO4)  Meconium  If present, cautiously consider reasons for meconium. | -Short periods of minimal variability could reflect normal CNS (Central Nervous System) function and fetal behavioural statesEvidence of compensatory responses with decreased fetal movement and minimal variability Minimal/changing variability may reflect a compensatory response to fetal hypoxia -Consider how the duration of the insult could be impacting fetal oxygenation and pH, therefore reflecting a chemoreceptor response and/or acidosis affecting the nervous systemLack of parasympathetic influence with a higher heart rate leads to minimal variability in association with tachycardia -Evidence of fetal compromise/ impending decompensation with changes in baseline and variability. | Depending on the duration of both tachycardia and minimal variability the classification could be considered normal, atypical or abnormal.  Cannot yet determine if this period of minimal variability is related to normal physiological processes (like fetal sleep cycles) or indication of some initiation of compensatory mechanisms  Potentially compromised fetus due to preterm or post term GAM impending decompensation  Two factors making the tracing abnormal (tachycardia with minimal variability) Material possible impending decompensation Material Response required.  *Scalp Stimulation?  *Fetal Scalp Lactate? |

| duide for the De                           | evelopment of a FHS                        |  |  |   |  |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
|  | RISK FACTORS                               | MATERNAL/<br>FETAL<br>ADAPTATIONS  | LABOR ASSESSMENTS  | FHR CONTROL   | OVERALL INTERPRETATION & RESPONSE  |
|  | * Refer to App                             | oendix B – Mind M  | ap for additional details r  | egarding each categ   | gory   |
| ACCELERATIONS                              | Consider<br>maternal/fetal<br>risk factors |  |  | -Crude indication<br>of fetal<br>oxygenation  | NORMAL reflex<br>responses,<br>spontaneous<br>accelerations  |
| EARLY<br>DECELERATIONS                     | Consider<br>maternal/fetal<br>risk factors |  |  | -Early<br>decelerations,<br>consider potential<br>causes of<br>decelerations?   | NORMAL within the context of the clinical situation. If in transition, the presence of early decelerations align with the clinical picture.  |
| UNCOMPLICATED<br>VARIABLE<br>DECELERATIONS | Consider maternal/fetal risk factors       | -Likely not compromised  Potential Compromise - Potential for compromised adaptations, related to: -Risk factors, -Placental circulation, -Gestational age (Preterm or postdates), -Indication for IOL (induction of labour) | GTPAL  Mode of Previous Delivery  Stage and phase of labor (what is normal for each), Pelvic Exam How long in labor Spontaneous/ Augmented/Induced SROM vs. AROM → Clear? Meconium  If present, cautiously consider reasons for meconium.  Medications/Epidural Maternal Vital Signs 4 P's: -Passage (Pelvis, soft tissue, maternal position) -Power (UA frequency, duration, and strength) -Passenger (GA, fetal position, risks) -Psyche (coping)  -Evaluate maternal position and potential blood flow to uterus, signs of hypoxia in mom or fetus. Anything untoward happen prior to this? | -Non-repetitive uncomplicated variable decelerations is a normal physiologic response (Baroreceptor response to cord compression)Repetitive uncomplicated variable decelerations might reflect a compensatory response (baroreceptor response to cord compression) -Atypical physiologic compensatory responseCompensatory response increases with increased number and duration of variable decelerations. =Consider how the duration of the insult could impact fetal oxygenation and pH, if not corrected. | Does the clinical picture seem consistent or inconsistent with stage and phase of labor?  -Identify potential causes:  *Maternal position or fetal position  *Cord compression  If repetitive: -In early stages of labour and concerned with fetal response to contractions, will the fetus have enough reserve?  -Consider compensatory responses to hypoxia which could progress to decompensation if time-to-delivery is prolonged.  -Determine FWB & consider: *  *Scalp Stimulation?  *Fetal Scalp Lactate (if available)  *Amnioinfusion |

| Caracter are De                           | RISK FACTORS  | MATERNAL/ FETAL ADAPTATIONS   | LABOR ASSESSMENTS   | FHR CONTROL   | OVERALL INTERPRETATION & RESPONSE  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|   | * Refer to App  | ı   | ap for additional details re  | egarding each categ   |  |
| COMPLICATED<br>VARIABLES<br>DECELERATIONS | Consider<br>maternal/fetal<br>risk factors as<br>listed above | Normal -Likely not compromised  Potential Compromise - Potential for compromised adaptations, related to: -Risk factors, -Placental circulation, -Gestational age (Preterm or postdates), -Indication for IOL (induction of labour) | See labor assessment for uncomplicated variables  | -Potential for progression towards decompensation with complicated variable decelerations and possible changes in variabilityConsider how the duration of the insult may be contributing to hypoxia and/or acidosis affecting the nervous system's ability to maintain FHR control. | See overall interpretation from uncomplicated variables  Decompensation Action Required  |
| LATE<br>DECELERATIONS                     | Consider<br>maternal/fetal<br>risk factors as<br>listed above |   | See labor assessment for uncomplicated variables  Consider induced or augmented labour.  Medications or epidural?  Maternal Vital Signs | -Late decelerations reflect transient or chronic hypoxia related to uteroplacental insufficiencyConsider how the duration of the insult may be contributing to hypoxia and/or acidosis affecting the nervous system's ability to maintain FHR control.                              | *Response required In the presence of normal FHR features (baseline rate, variability) if recurrent institute intrauterine resuscitation measures. If reflex late decelerations, improvement in the FHR tracing would be expected. If no improvement, or in the presence of risk factors and other abnormal FHR features, suspect fetal hypoxia.  Determine FWB & consider: *  *Scalp Stimulation? *Fetal Scalp Lactate (if available) |

|                            | RISK FACTORS  | MATERNAL/<br>FETAL<br>ADAPTATIONS  | LABOR ASSESSMENTS  | FHR CONTROL   | OVERALL<br>INTERPRETATION &<br>RESPONSE  |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| PROLONGED<br>DECELERATIONS | Consider<br>maternal/fetal<br>risk factors as<br>listed above | Potential Compromise  - Potential for compromised adaptations, related to: -Risk factors, -Placental circulation, -Gestational age (Preterm or postdates), -Indication for IOL (induction of labour) | See labor assessment for uncomplicated variables  Medications/Epidural  Maternal Vital Signs  BP?  Pelvic Exam? suspect cord prolapse; rapid descent | -Decompensation is evidenced in the prolonged deceleration which returned to baselineProlonged deceleration could reflect a loss of fetal reserve especially after a prolonged period of tachycardia. | Atypical or Abnormal, FHR may be showing signs of compensatory response.  *Immediate response required: -Expedite delivery |

### APPENDIX F

# Nova Scotia FHS Case Study Review Committee Electronic Fetal Monitor Tracings De-Identification Criteria

The following points must be incorporated/considered when using an electronic fetal monitor (EFM) tracings for case study education. The following de-identification criteria must be met prior to submitting any EFM tracings to the Nova Scotia FHS Case Study Review Committee.

### De-Identification Criteria:

- Remove the name of hospital/health region/birth centre if present on the tracing or accompanying documentation.
- Remove the city/town and province/territory if present on the tracing or accompanying documentation.
- Remove all patient identifiers (name, date of labour and birth, care provider info). Fictitious names and dates may be used.
- Remove names of attending care providers.
- Remove/cover any handwritten notes on the FHR tracing. A text box containing the content of the handwritten note may be substituted if required.
- Provide only a short maternal history incorporating the most relevant medical factors. Omit
  rare medical factors that could potentially identify the patient. Some factors may be modified
  slightly to prevent patient identification without changing important clinical points. For
  example, gestation, maternal age, sex of newborn.
- Provide corresponding information that explains the relevant actions of attending care
  providers; placed in a text box above segments of the FHR tracing. Direct extraction of
  documentation is discouraged.
- An EFM tracing may be presented in sections, rather than in its entirety, to shorten
  presentation time. Care should be taken to present the sections that reflect FHR or uterine
  changes necessary for correct interpretation.
- The final slide that provides newborn outcome information should be presented in a format that enables the staggering of information to engage the reader. Omit rare medical factors and any details that could potentially identify the patient and care providers.

### **EFM Images:**

Images of EFM tracings need to be visually clear and presented in a format that prevents alteration and protects the edits that have been performed in the de-identifying process (e.g. after text boxes have been added to hide information, a final snapshot or un-editable image of the final version will be used).

For submission of EFM tracings you must have:

- 1. Obtained explicit permission and/or followed the established organizational and/or provincial processes for sharing EFM tracings at the provincial level (Nova Scotia).
- 2. At least 4 but up to 10 images of EFM segments. Must be at minimum 10 minutes in length for each EFM segment.
- 3. Clinical information may include: GTPALS, maternal health history, current pregnancy information, past pregnancy information (if applicable). Fabricated information would also be acceptable.
- 4. Provide a realistic time sequence that doesn't deviate from true clinical case (e.g. if the timeframe of the case you have gotten permission to use was 12 hours in duration, please indicate the realistic time frame between EFM segments without sharing the exact dates and times).

## APPENDIX G NS FHS CASE STUDY SUBMISSION AND REVIEW CHECKLIST

| Date of Submission:                      |
|--|
| Facility:                                |
| Author(s) / Submitter of the Case Study: |

| ITEM FOR REVIEW  | Case Study Author/ Submitter: Included Check ( < ) | Review<br>Committee:<br>Criteria Met<br>Check (✓) | Comments / Review Committee suggestions for revision |
|--|--|---|--|
| What educational value do you think this brings? (Please comment)  |  |   |  |
| Standardized case study PowerPoint template utilized   |  |   |  |
| Consistent font, font size on all slides   |  |   |  |
| Consistent footer with page number on each slide   |  |   |  |
| Images of fetal tracings:  |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Included a minute of 4 x 10min segments</li> </ul>  |  |   |  |
| Good visual quality  |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Paper speed is 3 cm / min</li> </ul>  |  |   |  |
| FHR tracings are deidentified:   |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>No patient / identifying information on slides</li> </ul>   |  |   |  |
| No month/year noted on slides  |  |   |  |
| Used Appendix F for specific criteria  |  |   |  |
| Use of Case Study Template- PowerPoint and include pertinent case information from the permanent health record.                        |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Case information is presented in<br/>chronological order.</li> </ul>  |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Key periods of the clinical case are<br/>reflected in the tracings or slides.</li> </ul>                                      |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>No significant gaps in information or<br/>time (e.g. learner must be able to<br/>understand the clinical picture).</li> </ul> |  |   |  |

| Guide for the Development of a FHS Case Study   |   |            |   |  |
|---|---|------------|---|--|
| ITEM FOR REVIEW   | Case Study Author/ Submitter: Included Check (   )  | Committee: | Comments / Review<br>Committee<br>suggestions for<br>revision |  |
| Systematic approach to EFM assessment included in the notes for every FHR segment.  |   |            |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Appropriate classification table used<br/>(Refer to Appendix C or D)</li> </ul>  |   |            |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Interpretation is clear and accurate.</li> <li>(Refer to Appendix A &amp; B)</li> </ul>                                    |   |            |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Interpretation language is consistent<br/>with Interpretation &amp; Response<br/>(Resource Refer to Appendix E)</li> </ul> |   |            |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Clear and appropriate response (s)<br/>(Refer to Appendix C, D &amp; E)</li> </ul>   |   |            |   |  |
| Case study has been peer reviewed by site (optional)  |   |            |   |  |
| For NS FHS Case Study Review Committee Use Only   |   |            |   |  |
| Reviewed by:  | Approved:   |            |   |  |
|   | Revisions Required:                                 |            |   |  |
| Review Date:  | Revision Feedback<br>provided to Authors<br>(date): |            |   |  |
| Revisions Received:   |   |            |   |  |
| Revisions Reviewed:   |   |            |   |  |
| Uploaded to Case Study Repository:  | Date:   |            | Ву:   |  |